R. S. 59]

(13)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1908-09.
(Gen Mis:)

File No. 22

Serial Nos. 2 & 3 ✓

Papers Filed.

Month July 1908.

SUBJECT.

The Imperial Civil Service -

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S. 11] 1908-09

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen-Misc.)

NOTES.

File No. 22

FILE HEADING.

The Mysore Civil Service

From

Mr. M. Humza Hussein Asst Commr, Kolar

~~No.~~ Do. letter Dated 8-7-08 Received

Diary No. 135	Enclos. —	Spare Copies. —	Serial No. 2

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that in the list of Dev. Asst Comrs published at pp. 14 & 15 of the Civil List for April 1908 he has been wrongly shown below Mr. S. Ramaswamiah and requests that instructions may be issued for his being given the proper place which is above Mr. Aravamudiyan according to the principle recognised in G.O. No 1.6027-99/ Pp. 12-06-50 & 24.07

NOTES AND ORDERS.

"Under Secretary Br. V. — please put up a brief note. (So far as I can see now, Mr. Humza Hussein seems to have good cause for complaint — (Sd) K.S.C. 9.7.

"Gives the list on pp. 7 to 14 giving names of A-Cs in all depts that decides relative seniority. Under order of 2nd April 1907 Mr. Mir Humza Hussein draws Rs 650 from 9th January 1908 & is senior to officers in either Schedule who

NOTES—First sheet.

GPB—30,000—20-3-06.

G. 202

General Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, 9th July 1908

Dear Mr. Chakravarti,

Please see page 15 of the Mysore Civil List for the Quarter commencing on 1st April 1908. The name of Mr. Mir Humza Hussain is entered below that of Mr. B. Ramaswamaiya, whereas under para 3(2) of G.O. No. 6027-99 & the Fin. 1206-50 2nd April 1900 it should come above that of Mr. G. Arwammadiengar, since relative position is determined by the date of attaining the pay of the particular class.

Serial No.

began to draw Rs 450/- later than he. His place in the Combined list is below Rajanarasimhan and above K. Krishna Iyer. In the list of A.Cs in Rev dept. on p. 15 his name ought to come above in transmission the entry on p. 15 is a mistake. The Compr. may be written to D.O. to correct it in the issue for June 1908 now due to be issued. (Sd) C.S. B. 9.7.08."

"Please issue the D.O. putup (keeping copy) and putup a reply to Mr. Hamza Hussain as soon as the Civil list for June is issued (Sd) Kgs.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

Serial No. 3

To Mr. Hamza Hussein dd. 9-10-1907.

In reply to R-2 assures him that the mistake pointed out by him will be rectified at the earliest opportunity in the bill for June 1908

May the corrn be filed now?

14/7-

17-

135

File 15-7-08

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

This rule confirms the old practice
Existing on the subject. In case of
Officers who have received grade
promotions, Seniority has always
been determined by the date they
respectively attained the pay of that
grade Under these circumstances
I beg that the Government will be
pleased to Consider my case favourably
and give me my proper place in
the civil List I may state here that
before the reorganisation, I was
Sub-Judge 2nd grade getting Rs 500/-
a month I have addressed this
letter demi-officially as I did

H.S.T.B.V.

Please peel off.

brief note. (So far as I can see now, no Hindu Upadhyaya case for complaint.)

It is the list on pp. 76 & 14 giving names of A.Cs in all depts that decides relative seniority. Under order of 2 April 07 - viz Mr Hamilton's scheme - I began to draw 450 Rs from 9 Jan 03 & is senior to Officers in the combined list who began to draw 450 Rs later than he. His place in the list of A.Cs in Rev Dept on p. 15; his proper place is above Aravamudu Iyengar & the entry above Aravamudu Iyengar ought to come on p. 15 is a mistake. maybe written by the compiler. I beg to bring to the notice of the Government that a mistake has occurred in the list of Revenue Assistant Commissioners published at pages 14 & 15 of the Civil List for the month of April 1908. I have been placed below Mr B. Ramaswamy whereas my proper place is above Mr G. Aravamudu Iyengar. Both these Officers belong to

Ans. 22 Jan 08 51(2)

correct it in the issue of the issued. 9/1

D.135

to the same batch of Assistant Commissioners as Messrs: Balasundaram Iyer and Nathan. The last named officer was appointed probationary Assistant Commissioner on 22d October 1897 and the others on 22d September on Rs. 200/- a month; whereas I was appointed Extra Assistant Commissioner 2d grade Sub prolem on Rs 250/- on 1st July 1896. I was Confirmed on 22d November 1897 and received promotion to Rs 300/- in the Police Department on 20th July 1898, whilst they were Confirmed as Assistant Commissioners 4th class on 22d September 1898. I was promoted to Superintendent of Police 2d class on Rs 350/- a month on 10th Octo 1898 and was appointed Sub Judge on Rs 450/- a month nearly 8 months before they attained their 450. Thus I have had a start of them at every stage of their Official Career. Further the question of our relative rank has been settled in Government Proceedings No: 6027-99 / G.M.12-06-50 dated the 2d April 1907, clause 2 of paragraph 3 of the said Proceedings [illegible]

the relative position of officers placed in the same class as above must be fixed with reference to the dates on which they respectively attained the pay of that class

did not like a Comparison between
Myself and My brother officers to
appear in an official Communication

Please could the D-to put up
keeping up) : d put up
a reply to [illegible] Hussa
~~for~~ as soon as the Court Gra
for June is issued. K
9.3rd

For D
to please

VSB
9/7

Jm 22-08
81.(3)
G.R.S.
10.-, 08.

Issued
10/7/08

Dear Mr. Humza Hussaini,

Your D.O. of the 8th instant regarding your proper position in the Civil List. I have written to the Comptroller on the subject and you may rest assured that the matter will be rectified at the earliest opportunity, i.e. in the Civil List for June 1908

Yours Sincerely

Sd. K.S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar

Secy to Govt.